

**From:** Jonathan C. Detert  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/23/02 12:56pm  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

To whom it may concern,

I would like to make known my concern with the "Proposed Final Judgement".

I don't think the settlement is just, and I don't think it will make any useful change. In my opinion, it amounts to a warning rather than a disciplinary action or a retribution. I.e. "if you do illegal monopolization things again, you'll be in trouble". They did those things, why are they not in trouble now?

The fact that I can't buy an intel-based computer without a MicroSoft Wiindows o.s. installed makes me blood boil. Why must I subsidize MicroSoft when I have no intent of using it's operating system or other software on my computer?

MicroSoft's practices are NOT in my best interest. If I want to buy an Intel based computer, I am forced to subsidize MicroSoft. Why?

--

Happy Landings,

Jon Detert  
Unix System Administrator, Milwaukee School of Engineering  
1025 N. Broadway, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202